arXiv:1609.08799v1 [math.AG] 28 Sep 2016

SOME NEW EXAMPLES OF SMASH–NILPOTENT ALGEBRAIC CYCLES

ROBERT LATERVEER

ABSTRACT. Voevodsky has conjectured that numerical equivalence and smash–equivalence coincide for algebraic cycles on any smooth projective variety. Building on work of Vial and Kahn–Sebastian, we give some new examples of varieties where Voevodsky's conjecture is verified.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. There exist numerous adequate equivalence relations (in the sense of [36]) on the group of algebraic cycles on X, ranging from rational equivalence (the finest) to numerical equivalence (the coarsest). Rational equivalence gives rise to the Chow groups $A^j(X) := CH^j(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (i.e., codimension j cycles with rational coefficients modulo rational equivalence). The other equivalence relations give rise to subgroups $A^j_{\sim}$, for example there are subgroups

$$A^j_{alg}(X) \subset A^j_{\otimes}(X) \subset A^j_{hom}(X) \subset A^j_{num}(X)$$

of cycles algebraically resp. smash–nilpotent resp. homologically resp. numerically trivial. Here, the first inclusion is a theorem of Voevodsky [47] and Voisin [48], and the last inclusion is the subject of one of the standard conjectures [28]. More ambitiously, Voevodsky has conjectured that $A^j_{\otimes}(X)$ and $A^j_{num}(X)$ should coincide [47].

Not a great deal is known about this conjecture of Voevodsky's; most results focus on 1–cycles. For instance, Voevodsky's conjecture has been proven for 1–cycles on varieties rationally dominated by products of curves [38], [39, Proposition 2] (this is further generalized by [44, Theorem 3.17]).

In this note (which is inspired by [38], [39] and particularly [44]), we aim for results for cycles in other dimensions by restricting attention to very special varieties. The main result is as follows:

Theorem ($\approx$ theorem 3.1[1])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety. Assume that X is dominated by a product of curves, and that the even cohomology of X verifies*

$$H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{Q}) = \widetilde{N}^{i-1}H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{Q})\ .$$

Then

$$A^j_{\otimes}(X) = A^j_{num}(X)\ \ \textit{for all } j\ .$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C15, 14C25, 14C30. Secondary 14J30, 14J32, 14K99.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic cycles, Chow groups, motives, finite–dimensional motives, smash–nilpotence, abelian varieties.

[1](The actual statement of theorem 3.1 is somewhat more general, but this simplified version suffices for many applications.)

Here $\widetilde{N}^*$ denotes Vial's niveau filtration, which is a variant of the coniveau filtration (cf. [45] and section 2.3 below). Conjecturally, the condition $H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{Q})=\widetilde{N}^{i-1}$ is equivalent to having $H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{C})=F^{i-1}H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{C})$, where F^* is the Hodge filtration.

Examples of varieties to which theorem 3.1 applies include the following: Fermat hypersurfaces of odd dimension; products of type $X^2_d\times X^n_{d'}$ with n odd (where X^n_d denotes a Fermat hypersurface of dimension n and degree d). Some more examples where theorem 3.1 applies are given in corollary 4.1.

Conventions. *In this note, the word variety will refer to a reduced irreducible scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. A subvariety is a (possibly reducible) reduced subscheme which is equidimensional.*

All Chow groups will be with rational coefficients*: we denote by A_jX the Chow group of j–dimensional cycles on X with $\mathbb{Q}$–coefficients; for X smooth of dimension n the notations A_jX and $A^{n-j}X$ will be used interchangeably.*

The notations $A^j_{hom}(X)$, $A^j_{num}(X)$, $A^j_{AJ}(X)$, $A^j_{alg}(X)$ and $A^j_{\otimes}(X)$ will be used to indicate the subgroups of homologically trivial, resp. numerically trivial, resp. Abel–Jacobi trivial resp. algebraically trivial, resp. smash–nilpotent cycles. The contravariant category of Chow motives (i.e., pure motives with respect to rational equivalence as in [37], [32]*) will be denoted $\mathcal{M}_{\rm rat}$.*

We will write $H^j(X)$ and $H_j(X)$ to indicate singular cohomology $H^j(X,\mathbb{Q})$, resp. Borel–Moore homology $H_j(X,\mathbb{Q})$.

2. PRELIMINARY

2.1. **Motives of abelian type.** We refer to [24], [1], [17], [22], [32] for the definition of finite–dimensional motive. An essential property of varieties with finite–dimensional motive is embodied by the nilpotence theorem:

Theorem 2.1 (Kimura [24])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n with finite–dimensional motive. Let $\Gamma\in A^n(X\times X)$ be a correspondence which is numerically trivial. Then there is $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\Gamma^{\circ N}=0\quad\text{in }\ A^n(X\times X)$$

(here, $\circ$ indicates composition of correspondences).

Actually, the nilpotence property (for all powers of X) could serve as an alternative definition of finite–dimensional motive, as shown by Jannsen [22, Corollary 3.9].

Conjecture 2.2 (Kimura [24])**.** *Every smooth projective variety has finite–dimensional motive.*

We are still far from knowing this, but at least there are quite a few non–trivial examples:

Remark 2.3. *The following varieties have finite–dimensional motive: abelian varieties, varieties dominated by products of curves* [24]*, $K3$ surfaces with Picard number 19 or 20* [34]*, surfaces not of general type with vanishing geometric genus* [16, Theorem 2.11]*, Godeaux surfaces* [16]*, certain surfaces of general type with $p_g=0$* [35], [49]*, Hilbert schemes of surfaces known to have finite–dimensional motive* [10]*, generalized Kummer varieties* [52, Remark 2.9(ii)]*, 3–folds with nef tangent bundle* [18] *(an alternative proof is given in* [44, Example 3.16]*), 4–folds*

with nef tangent bundle [19], *log–homogeneous varieties in the sense of* [9] *(this follows from* [19, Theorem 4.4]*), certain 3–folds of general type* [46, Section 8], *varieties of dimension* ≤ 3 *rationally dominated by products of curves* [44, Example 3.15], *varieties* X *with* $A^i_{AJ}(X)=0$ *for all* i [43, Theorem 4], *products of varieties with finite–dimensional motive* [24].

Definition 2.4. *Let* X *be a smooth projective variety of dimension* n*. We say that* X *has* motive of abelian type *if* $h(X)\in \mathcal{M}_{\rm rat}$ *is in the subcategory generated by the motives of curves.*

Remark 2.5. *It follows from the fact that curves have finite–dimensional motive that "motive of abelian type" implies "finite–dimensional motive". The converse is probably not true (many motives are* not *of abelian type, cf.* [13, 7.6]*), yet it is a (somewhat embarassing) fact that all known finite–dimensional motives happen to be of abelian type.*

Various characterizations of motives of abelian type are given in [44]. One of these is as follows:

Proposition 2.6 (Vial [44])**.** *Let* X *be a smooth projective variety of dimension* n*. The motive of* X *is of abelian type if and only if* $A^j_{alg}(X)$ *is generated, via correspondences, by Chow groups of products of curves, for all* $j>\lceil \frac{n}{2}\rceil$.

Proof. This follows from [44, Theorem 5]. □

Proposition 2.7 (Vial [44])**.** *Let* X *be a smooth projective variety of dimension* n*, and assume* X *has motive of abelian type. Then the motive of* X *is isomorphic to a direct summand*

$$h(X)\ \subset\ \bigoplus_j h(A_j)(m_j)\ \ \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\rm rat}\ ,$$

where the A_j *are abelian varieties.*

Proof. It suffices to note that for motives of abelian type there is an inclusion

$$h(X)\ \subset\ \bigoplus_j h(M_j)(m_j)\ \ \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\rm rat}\ ,$$

where M_j is a product of curves $C_1\times\cdots\times C_{r_j}$ (this follows from [44, Theorem 4], plus [44, Theorem 3.11] applied with $l=d:=\dim X$). It is well–known this implies proposition 2.7.

(Indeed, for some $n_i\geq 2g(C_i)$ let $C_i^{[n_i]}$ denote the n_i–th symmetric product, and let J_i denote the Jacobian of C_i. There exist morphisms

$$M:=C_1\times\cdots C_r\ \to\ C_1^{[n_1]}\times\cdots\times C_r^{[n_r]}\ \to\ J_1\times\cdots\times J_r\ .$$

The first arrow identifies $h(M)$ with a direct summand of $h(C_1^{[n_1]}\times\cdots\times C_r^{[n_r]})$ [26]. The second arrow is a composition of projective bundles, so the motive $h(C_1^{[n_1]}\times\cdots\times C_r^{[n_r]})$ identifies with a sum of shifted motives of $J_1\times\cdots\times J_r$.) □

2.2. **Lefschetz standard conjecture.**

Notation 2.8. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n, and $h\in H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$ the class of an ample line bundle. The hard Lefschetz theorem asserts that the map*

$$L^{n-i}\colon\ H^i(X)\to H^{2n-i}(X)$$

obtained by cupping with h^{n-i} is an isomorphism, for any $i<n$.

One of the standard conjectures asserts that the inverse isomorphism is algebraic:

Definition 2.9. *Given a variety X, we say that $B(X)$ holds if for all ample h, and all $i<n$ the isomorphism*

$$(L^{n-i})^{-1}\colon\ H^{2n-i}(X)\stackrel{\cong}{\to} H^i(X)$$

is induced by a correspondence.

Remark 2.10. *It is known that $B(X)$ holds for the following varieties: curves, surfaces, abelian varieties* [27], [28], *threefolds not of general type* [41], *hyperkähler varieties of $K3^{[n]}$–type* [11], *n–dimensional varieties X which have $A_i(X)$ supported on a subvariety of dimension $i+2$ for all $i\leq\frac{n-3}{2}$* [42, Theorem 7.1], *n–dimensional varieties X which have $H_i(X)=N^{\llcorner\frac{i}{2}\lrcorner}H_i(X)$ for all $i>n$* [43, Theorem 4.2], *products and hyperplane sections of any of these* [27], [28].

Remark 2.11. *Let X be a variety with motive of abelian type. Then $B(X)$ holds. This is because the standard conjecture B can also be formulated for motives. Since $B(A)$ holds for abelian varieties, it also holds for direct summands of a sum of twisted motives of abelian varieties, hence for varieties with motive of abelian type. It follows that the standard conjectures $C(X)$ (i.e., algebraicity of the Künneth components) and $D(X)$ (i.e., homological and numerical equivalence coincide on X and on $X\times X$) also hold* [27], [28].

2.3. **Niveau filtration.**

Definition 2.12 (Coniveau filtration [6])**.** *Let X be a quasi–projective variety. The* coniveau filtration *on cohomology and on homology is defined as*

$$N^cH^i(X,\mathbb{Q})=\sum Im\big(H^i_Y(X,\mathbb{Q})\to H^i(X,\mathbb{Q})\big)\ ;$$
$$N^cH_i(X,\mathbb{Q})=\sum Im\big(H_i(Z,\mathbb{Q})\to H_i(X,\mathbb{Q})\big)\ ,$$

where Y runs over codimension $\geq c$ subvarieties of X, and Z over dimension $\leq i-c$ subvarieties.

Vial introduced the following variant of the coniveau filtration:

Definition 2.13 (Niveau filtration [45])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety. The* niveau filtration *on homology is defined as*

$$\widetilde{N}^jH_i(X)=\sum_{\Gamma\in A_{i-j}(Z\times X)} Im\big(H_{i-2j}(Z)\to H_i(X)\big)\ ,$$

where the union runs over all smooth projective varieties Z of dimension $i-2j$, and all correspondences $\Gamma\in A_{i-j}(Z\times X)$. The niveau filtration on cohomology is defined as

$$\widetilde{N}^c H^i X := \widetilde{N}^{c-i+n} H_{2n-i} X\ .$$

Remark 2.14. *The niveau filtration is included in the coniveau filtration:*

$$\widetilde{N}^j H^i(X)\subset N^j H^i(X)\ .$$

These two filtrations are expected to coincide; indeed, Vial shows this is true if and only if the Lefschetz standard conjecture is true for all varieties [45, Proposition 1.1].

Using the truth of the Lefschetz standard conjecture in degree ≤ 1, it can be checked [45, page 415 "Properties"] *that the two filtrations coincide in a certain range:*

$$\widetilde{N}^j H^i(X) = N^j H^i X \quad \textit{for all } j\geq \frac{i-1}{2}\ .$$

Lemma 2.15. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n such that $B(X)$ holds. Suppose*

$$H^{2i}(X)=\widetilde{N}^{i-1}H^{2i}(X)$$

for some i. Then there exists a smooth projective surface S and correspondences $\Gamma_{2i}\in A^{n+1-i}(X\times S)$, $\Psi_{2i}\in A^{i+1}(S\times X)$ such that

$$\pi_{2i}=\Psi_{2i}\circ\Gamma_{2i}\quad \textit{in } H^{2n}(X\times X)\ .$$

Proof. This follows readily from the arguments contained in [45]. Indeed, by assumption there exists a surface S and a correspondence $\Psi_{2i}\in A^{i+1}(S\times X)$ such that

$$H^{2i}(X)=(\Psi_{2i})_* H^2(S)\ .$$

This means that the homomorphism of motives

$$\Psi_{2i}\colon\ \ (S,\pi_2,0)\ \to\ (X,\pi_{2i},0)\ \ \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\rm hom}$$

is surjective (i.e.,

$$(\Psi_{2i}\times\Delta_M)_*\colon H^*(S\times M)\ \to\ (\pi_{2i}\times\Delta_M)_* H^*(X\times M)$$

is surjective for all smooth projective varieties M). On the other hand, the motives $(S,\pi_2,0)$ and $(X,\pi_{2i},0)$ lie in a subcategory $\mathcal{M}_{\rm hom}^\circ\subset\mathcal{M}_{\rm hom}$ which is semi–simple (one can define $\mathcal{M}_{\rm hom}^\circ$ as the smallest full subcategory containing the motives of all varieties M for which $B(M)$ is known). As such, there is a left–inverse to Ψ_{2i}; this gives the correspondence Γ_{2i} with the property that $\Psi_{2i}\circ\Gamma_{2i}=\pi_{2i}$. □

2.4. **Smash–nilpotence.**

Definition 2.16. *Let X be a smooth projective variety. A cycle $a\in A^r(X)$ is called* smash–nilpotent *if there exists $m\in\mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$a^m:=\ \underbrace{a\times\cdots\times a}_{(m\ \textit{times})}\ =0\ \textit{ in } A^{mr}(X\times\cdots\times X)\ .$$

We will write $A^r_\otimes(X)\subset A^r(X)$ for the subgroup of smash–nilpotent cycles.

Conjecture 2.17 (Voevodsky [47])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety. Then*

$$A^r_{num}(X) \ \subset \ A^r_{\otimes}(X) \quad \textit{for all } r \ .$$

Remark 2.18. *It is known* [1, Théorème 3.33] *that conjecture 2.17 implies (and is strictly stronger than) conjecture 2.2.*

The most general result concerning smash–nilpotence is the following:

Theorem 2.19 (Voevodsky [47], Voisin [48])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety. Then*

$$A^r_{alg}(X) \ \subset \ A^r_{\otimes}(X) \quad \textit{for all } r \ .$$

In particular, it follows from theorem 2.19 that conjecture 2.17 is true for $r = 1$ and for $r = \dim X$. Another useful result is the following (this is [23, Proposition 1], which builds on results of Kimura's [24]):

Theorem 2.20 (Kahn–Sebastian [23])**.** *Let A be an abelian variety. Assume $a \in A^r(A)$ is* skew*, i.e. $(-1)^*(a) = -a$ in $A^r(A)$. Then $a \in A^r_{\otimes}(A)$.*

3. Main result

This section contains the proof of our main result (stated in somewhat more general form than in the introduction):

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n. Assume*
(i) X has motive of abelian type;
(ii) $H^{2i}(X) = \widetilde{N}^{i-1}H^{2i}(X)$ for all $i \leq n/2$.
Then Voevodsky's conjecture is true for X, i.e.

$$A^r_{\otimes}(X) = A^r_{num}(X) \ \textit{ for all } r \ .$$

Proof. Let us denote

$$Z^r(X) := \frac{A^r_{num}(X)}{A^r_{\otimes}(X)} \ .$$

By assumption (i), the Künneth components π_i of X are algebraic (remark 2.11). By assumption (ii) and lemma 2.15, any "even" Künneth component π_{2i} with $i \leq n/2$ factors over a surface, i.e. there exists a surface S_{2i} and correspondences $\Gamma_{2i} \in A^{n+1-i}(X \times S_{2i})$, $\Psi_{2i} \in A^{i+1}(S_{2i} \times X)$ such that

$$\pi_{2i} = \Psi_{2i} \circ \Gamma_{2i} \quad \text{in } H^{2n}(X \times X) \ .$$

We now lift the π_i to the level of rational equivalence in the following way: for the even components we choose

$$\Pi_{2i} := \begin{cases} \Psi_{2i} \circ \Gamma_{2i} & \text{in } A^n(X \times X) \quad \text{if } i \leq n/2 \ ; \\ {}^t(\Psi_{2n-2i} \circ \Gamma_{2n-2i}) & \text{in } A^n(X \times X) \quad \text{if } i > n/2 \ , \end{cases}$$

Here Ψ_{2i}, Γ_{2i} are correspondences to and from a surface S_{2i} as above, and ${}^t()$ denotes the transpose of a correspondence. For the odd Künneth components π_{2i+1}, we take arbitrary lifts $\Pi_{2i+1} \in A^n(X \times X)$ of the π_{2i+1}, subject only to the condition that

$$\Delta_X = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \Pi_i \ \text{ in } A^n(X \times X)$$

(i.e., we define the last Π_{2i+1} as a difference of cycle classes). Note that our $\Pi_i \in A^n(X \times X)$ need *not* be idempotents.

We now remark that

$$(\Pi_{2i})_* \colon \ \ Z^r(X) \ \to \ Z^r(X)$$

factors over $Z^*(S_{2i})$, which is 0 since S_{2i} is a surface, and so

$$(\Pi_{2i})_* = 0 \colon \ \ Z^r(X) \ \to \ Z^r(X) \ \ \text{for all } i \text{ and all } r \ .$$

It follows that

$$(\Delta_X)_* = (\sum_{i \ \text{odd}} \Pi_i)_* \colon \ \ Z^r(X) \ \to \ Z^r(X) \ .$$

For later use, let us note that this last equality also implies

$$(\sum_{i \ \text{odd}} \Pi_i)_* = \Big((\sum_{i \ \text{odd}} \Pi_i)^{\circ m} \Big)_* = \text{id} \colon \ \ Z^r(X) \ \to \ Z^r(X) \ , \ \ \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N} \ . \tag{1}$$

Assumption (i) implies the motive of X identifies with a direct summand

$$h(X) \ \subset \ \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} h(A_j)(m_j) \ \ \text{in} \ \ \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}} \ ,$$

where the A_j are abelian varieties (proposition 2.7). This formally implies that there exist correspondences

$$\Gamma_1 = \sum_j \Gamma_1^j \ \ \in \bigoplus_j A^*(X \times A_j) \ ,$$
$$\Gamma_2 = \sum_j \Gamma_2^j \ \ \in \bigoplus_j A^*(A_j \times X)$$

such that

$$\Gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_1 = \sum_j \Gamma_2^j \circ \Gamma_1^j = \Delta_X \ \text{ in } A^n(X \times X) \ .$$

In particular, for any i we also have that the composition

$$H^{2i+1}(X) \ \xrightarrow{(\Gamma_1)_*} \ \bigoplus_j H^{2i+1+2c_j}(A_j) \ \xrightarrow{(\Gamma_2)_*} \ H^{2i+1}(X)$$

is equal to the identity (here c_j is some integer, dependent on n and $\dim A_j$ and m_j). But this composition is the same as

$$H^{2i+1}(X) \xrightarrow{(\Gamma_1)_*} \bigoplus_j H^{2i+1+2c_j}(A_j) \xrightarrow{\Big((\pi^{A_1}_{2i+1+2c_1})_*,\ldots,(\pi^{A_s}_{2i+1+2c_s})_*\Big)} \bigoplus_j H^{2i+1+2c_j}(A_j) \xrightarrow{(\Gamma_2)_*} H^{2i+1}(X)\ ,$$

where the $\pi^{A_j}_i$ denote the Chow–Künneth decomposition of [31] for the abelian variety A_j.

That is, we have a homological equivalence

$$\Pi_{2i+1} = \Gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_1 \circ \Pi_{2i+1} = \sum_j \Gamma^j_2 \circ \pi^A_{2i+1+2c_j} \circ \Gamma^j_1 \circ \Pi_{2i+1} \ \text{ in } H^{2n}(X\times X)\ .$$

Taking the sum over all odd Künneth components, we find that

$$\sum_{i \text{ odd}} \Pi_i - \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \sum_j \Gamma^j_2 \circ \pi^{A_j}_{2i+1+2c_j} \circ \Gamma^j_1 \circ \Pi_{2i+1} \ \ \in\ A^n(X\times X)$$

is homologically trivial. But then (since X has finite–dimensional motive), it follows from theorem 2.1 this cycle is nilpotent: there exists $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Big(\sum_{i \text{ odd}} \Pi_i - \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \sum_j \Gamma^j_2 \circ \pi^{A_j}_{2i+1+2c_j} \circ \Gamma^j_1 \circ \Pi_{2i+1}\Big)^{\circ N} = 0 \ \ \in\ A^n(X\times X)\ .$$

Developing this expression, we obtain

$$\big(\sum_{i \text{ odd}} \Pi_i\big)^{\circ N} = Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{N'} \ \text{ in } A^n(X\times X)\ ,$$

where each Q_s is a composition of correspondences in which some $\pi^{A_j}_{2i+1+2c_j}$ occurs at least once, i.e.

$$Q_s = (\text{something}) \circ \pi^{A_j}_\ell \circ (\text{something}) \ \ \text{ in } A^n(X\times X) \ \ \text{, with } \ell \text{ odd.}$$

This equality implies in particular that both sides act in the same way on $Z^r(X)$ for any r, i.e.

$$\Big(\big(\sum_{i \text{ odd}} \Pi_i\big)^{\circ N}\Big)_* = (\sum_s Q_s)_* = (\text{something})_*(\pi^{A_j}_\ell)_*(\text{something})_* \colon \ \ Z^r(X) \ \to\ Z^r(X)\ .$$

The right–hand–side of this equality is 0, since

$$(\pi^{A_j}_\ell)_*\Big(\frac{A^*_{num}(A_j)}{A^*_\otimes(A_j)}\Big)$$

for ℓ odd (this follows from theorem 2.20, combined with the fact that the $\pi^{A_j}_\ell$ project to odd gradeds of the Beauville filtration on $A^*(A_j)$ [14], [37]). As we have seen in equality (1), the left–hand–side is the identity. We conclude that

$$Z^r(X) = 0\ .$$

□

4. EXAMPLES

In this section, we aim to give some content to theorem 3.1, by providing examples of varieties satisfying the assumptions. For convenience, we will write X^n_d for the Fermat hypersurface of dimension n and degree d.

Corollary 4.1. *Let X be one of the following:*
(1) a Fermat hypersurface X^n_d with n odd;
(2) a product $Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_s \times X^n_d$, where the Y_i are varieties with $A^*_{hom}(Y_i) = 0$ (examples of such varieties can be found in [5], [35], [49]*), and n is odd;*
(3) a product $Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_s \times Y$, where the Y_i are as in (2), and Y is a Calabi–Yau threefold with motive of abelian type (examples of such Y are given in [29, Section 2] *and in* [30]*);*
(4) a product $S \times X^n_d$ where n is odd, and S is a regular surface with motive of abelian type (e.g., S can be $X^2_{d'}$, or a double plane branched along 6 lines in general position [33]*, or a $K3$ surface with Picard number ≥ 19, or any of the surfaces in* [15], [8]*);*
(5) a product $Y \times C$, where C is a curve and $Y = X^4_7/\mu_7$ is the fourfold studied in [45, Proposition 2.17]*;*
(6) a product $Y \times S$, where S is a surface with $A^2_{AJ}(S) = 0$, and $Y = X^4_7/\mu_7$ is the fourfold of [45, Proposition 2.17]*;*
(7) a product $S \times Y$, where S is a regular surface with motive of abelian type, and Y is a Calabi–Yau threefold with motive of abelian type;
(8) the Calabi–Yau 5–fold obtained from a product of 5 elliptic curves as in [12, Corollary 2.3].
Then

$$A^r_{\otimes}(X) = A^r_{num}(X) \ \textit{for all } r\ .$$

Proof. Clearly, all these examples have motive of abelian type: for case (1), this follows from Shioda's inductive structure [40]; for case (2) this follows from [43, Theorem 5] (or, independently, from [25]); case (5) follows from [45, Proposition 2.17]; the surfaces in case (6) follow from [43, Theorem 4]. It remains to check hypothesis (ii) of theorem 3.1 is verified. For cases (1), (2), (3) this is clear since in these cases the even–degree cohomology is algebraic, and so

$$H^{2i}(X) = N^i H^{2i}(X) = \widetilde{N}^i H^{2i}(X) \subset \widetilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i}(X)\ .$$

In case (4), we have

$$H^{2i}(X) = \bigoplus_{k+\ell=2i} H^k(S) \otimes H^\ell(X^n_{d'})\ .$$

Any direct summand with $k \neq 2$ consists of algebraic classes. For $k = 2$, we have

$$H^2(X^2_d) \otimes H^{2i-2}(X^n_{d'}) \ \subset\ H^2(X^2_d) \otimes \widetilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i-2}(X^n_{d'}) \ \subset\ \widetilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i}(X^2_d \times X^n_{d'})\ .$$

In case (5), we have $H^4(Y) = \widetilde{N}^1 H^4(Y)$ [45, Proposition 2.17]. It follows that

$$H^4(Y \times C) = H^4(Y) \otimes H^0(C) \oplus H^2(Y) \otimes H^2(C) \ \subset\ \widetilde{N}^1 H^4(Y \times C)\ .$$

In case (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^4(X) &= H^4(Y)\otimes H^0(S)\oplus H^2(Y)\otimes H^2(S)\oplus H^0(Y)\otimes H^4(S)\\ &\subset \widetilde{N}^1 H^4(Y)\otimes H^0(S)\oplus \widetilde{N}^1 H^2(Y)\otimes \widetilde{N}^1 H^2(S)\oplus H^0(Y)\otimes \widetilde{N}^2 H^4(S)\\ &\subset \widetilde{N}^1 H^4(X)\ , \end{aligned}$$

and likewise

$$\begin{aligned} H^6(X) &= H^6(Y)\otimes H^0(S)\oplus H^4(Y)\otimes H^2(S)\oplus H^2(Y)\otimes H^4(S)\\ &\subset \widetilde{N}^2 H^6(Y)\otimes H^0(S)\oplus \widetilde{N}^1 H^4(Y)\otimes \widetilde{N}^1 H^2(S)\oplus \widetilde{N}^1 H^2(Y)\otimes \widetilde{N}^2 H^4(S)\\ &\subset \widetilde{N}^2 H^6(X)\ . \end{aligned}$$

Cases (7) is similar to case (4).

As to case (8): let $E_1,\ldots,E_5$ be elliptic curves, and let X be a Calabi–Yau 5–fold obtained as a smooth model of the quotient

$$(E_1\times\cdots\times E_5)/\mathbb{Z}_2^4$$

as in [12, Corollary 2.3]. It is readily checked (using the argument of [12, Lemma 2.4]) that

$$H^4(E_1\times\cdots\times E_5)^{\mathbb{Z}_2^4}\subset N^2H^4(E_1\times\cdots\times E_5)\ .$$

Next, the inductive construction of [12, Proposition 2.1] shows X is of the form $Z/\mathbb{Z}_2^4$, where Z is obtained from $E_1\times\cdots\times E_5$ by blowing up some rational subvarieties. Since rational varieties of dimension ≤ 3 verify the Lefschetz standard conjecture, this implies

$$H^i(Z)\ \subset\ \mathrm{Im}\bigl(H^i(E_1\times\cdots\times E_5)\ \to\ H^i(Z)\bigr)\ \cup\ \widetilde{N}^1H^i(Z)\quad \text{for all } i\ .$$

In particular, it follows that

$$H^4(X)=H^4(Z)^{\mathbb{Z}_2^4}\ \subset\ \widetilde{N}^1H^4(X)\ .$$

□

Acknowledgements. *The ideas developed in this note grew into being during the Strasbourg 2014—2015 groupe de travail based on the monograph* [50]. *Thanks to all the participants of this groupe de travail for the pleasant and stimulating atmosphere. Thanks to Charles Vial and an anonymous referee for helpful comments. Many thanks to Yasuyo, Kai and Len for not disturbing when the door to my office is closed.*

REFERENCES

[1] Y. André, Motifs de dimension finie (d'après S.-I. Kimura, P. O'Sullivan,...), Séminaire Bourbaki 2003/2004, Astérisque 299 Exp. No. 929, viii, 115—145,

[2] A. Beauville, Sur l'anneau de Chow d'une variété abélienne, Math. Ann. 273 (1986), 647—651,

[3] S. Bloch, Some elementary theorems about algebraic cycles on abelian varieties, Invent. Math. 37 (1976), 215—228,

[4] S. Bloch, Lectures on algebraic cycles, Duke Univ. Press Durham 1980,
[5] S. Bloch, A. Kas and D. Lieberman, Zero cycles on surfaces with $p_g = 0$, Comp. Math. 33 no. 2 (1976), 135—145,
[6] S. Bloch and A. Ogus, Gersten's conjecture and the homology of schemes, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 4 (1974), 181—202,
[7] S. Bloch and V. Srinivas, Remarks on correspondences and algebraic cycles, American Journal of Mathematics Vol. 105, No 5 (1983), 1235—1253,
[8] M. Bonfanti, On the cohomology of regular surfaces isogenous to a product of curves with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$, arXiv:1512.03168v1,
[9] M. Brion, Log homogeneous varieties, in: Actas del XVI Coloquio Latinoamericano de Algebra, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Madrid 2007, arXiv: math/0609669,
[10] M. de Cataldo and L. Migliorini, The Chow groups and the motive of the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface, Journal of Algebra 251 no. 2 (2002), 824—848,
[11] F. Charles and E. Markman, The standard conjectures for holomorphic symplectic varieties deformation equivalent to Hilbert schemes of $K3$ surfaces, Comp. Math. 149 (2013), 481—494,
[12] S. Cynk and K. Hulek, Higher–dimensional modular Calabi–Yau manifolds, Canad. Math. Bull. 50 (4) (2007), 486—503,
[13] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil pour les surfaces $K3$, Invent. Math. 15 (1972), 206—226,
[14] C. Deninger and J. Murre, Motivic decomposition of abelian schemes and the Fourier transform. J. reine u. angew. Math. 422 (1991), 201—219,
[15] A. Garbagnati and M. Penegini, $K3$ surfaces with a non–symplectic automorphism and product–quotient surfaces with cyclic groups, to appear in Rev. Mat. Iberoam.,
[16] V. Guletskiĭ and C. Pedrini, The Chow motive of the Godeaux surface, in: Algebraic Geometry, a volume in memory of Paolo Francia (M.C. Beltrametti, F. Catanese, C. Ciliberto, A. Lanteri and C. Pedrini, editors), Walter de Gruyter, Berlin New York, 2002,
[17] F. Ivorra, Finite dimensional motives and applications (following S.-I. Kimura, P. O'Sullivan and others), in: Autour des motifs, Asian-French summer school on algebraic geometry and number theory, Volume III, Panoramas et synthèses, Société mathématique de France 2011,
[18] J. Iyer, Murre's conjectures and explicit Chow–Künneth projectors for varieties with a nef tangent bundle, Transactions of the Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2008), 1667—1681,
[19] J. Iyer, Absolute Chow–Künneth decomposition for rational homogeneous bundles and for log homogeneous varieties, Michigan Math. Journal Vol.60, 1 (2011), 79—91,
[20] U. Jannsen, Motivic sheaves and filtrations on Chow groups, in: Motives (U. Jannsen et alii, eds.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics Vol. 55 (1994), Part 1,
[21] U. Jannsen, Equivalence relations on algebraic cycles, in: The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (B. Gordon et alii, eds.), Banff Conference 1998, Kluwer,
[22] U. Jannsen, On finite–dimensional motives and Murre's conjecture, in: Algebraic cycles and motives (J. Nagel and C. Peters, eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2007,
[23] B. Kahn and R. Sebastian, Smash–nilpotent cycles on abelian 3–folds, Math. Res. Letters 16 (2009), 1007—1010,
[24] S. Kimura, Chow groups are finite dimensional, in some sense, Math. Ann. 331 (2005), 173—201,
[25] S. Kimura, Surjectivity of the cycle map for Chow motives, in: Motives and algebraic cycles (R. de Jeu and J. Lewis, eds.), Amer. Math. Soc. Providence 2009,
[26] S. Kimura and A. Vistoli, Chow rings of infinite symmetric products, Duke Math. J. 85 (1996), 411—430,
[27] S. Kleiman, Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures, in: Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, North–Holland Amsterdam, 1968, 359—386,
[28] S. Kleiman, The standard conjectures, in: Motives (U. Jannsen et alii, eds.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics Vol. 55 (1994), Part 1,
[29] R. Laterveer, Some desultory remarks concerning algebraic cycles and Calabi–Yau threefolds, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 65 (2) (2016), 333—344,

[30] R. Laterveer, A family of Calabi–Yau threefolds with finite–dimensional motive, submitted to Tokyo Math. J.,
[31] J. Murre, On a conjectural filtration on the Chow groups of an algebraic variety, parts I and II, Indag. Math. 4 (1993), 177—201,
[32] J. Murre, J. Nagel and C. Peters, Lectures on the theory of pure motives, Amer. Math. Soc. University Lecture Series 61, Providence 2013,
[33] K. Paranjape, Abelian varieties associated to certain K3 surfaces, Comp. Math. 68 (1988), 11—22,
[34] C. Pedrini, On the finite dimensionality of a $K3$ surface, Manuscripta Mathematica 138 (2012), 59—72,
[35] C. Pedrini and C. Weibel, Some surfaces of general type for which Bloch's conjecture holds, to appear in: Period Domains, Algebraic Cycles, and Arithmetic, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2015,
[36] P. Samuel, Relations d'équivalence en géométrie algébrique, in: Proc. Int. Congress Math. 1958, Cambridge Univ. Press New York 1960,
[37] T. Scholl, Classical motives, in: Motives (U. Jannsen et alii, eds.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics Vol. 55 (1994), Part 1,
[38] R. Sebastian, Smash nilpotent cycles on varieties dominated by products of curves, Comp. Math. 149 (2013), 1511—1518,
[39] R. Sebastian, Examples of smash nilpotent cycles on rationally connected varieties, Journal of Algebra 438 (2015), 119—129,
[40] T. Shioda, The Hodge conjecture for Fermat varieties, Math. Ann. 245 (1979), 175—184,
[41] S. Tankeev, On the standard conjecture of Lefschetz type for complex projective threefolds. II, Izvestiya Math. 75:5 (2011), 1047—1062,
[42] C. Vial, Algebraic cycles and fibrations, Documenta Math. 18 (2013), 1521—1553,
[43] C. Vial, Projectors on the intermediate algebraic Jacobians, New York J. Math. 19 (2013), 793—822,
[44] C. Vial, Remarks on motives of abelian type, to appear in Tohoku Math. J.,
[45] C. Vial, Niveau and coniveau filtrations on cohomology groups and Chow groups, Proceedings of the LMS 106(2) (2013), 410—444,
[46] C. Vial, Chow–Künneth decomposition for 3– and 4–folds fibred by varieties with trivial Chow group of zero–cycles, J. Alg. Geom. 24 (2015), 51—80,
[47] V. Voevodsky, A nilpotence theorem for cycles algebraically equivalent to zero, Internat. Math. Research Notices 4 (1995), 187—198,
[48] C. Voisin, Remarks on zero–cycles of self–products of varieties, in: Moduli of vector bundles, Proceedings of the Taniguchi Congress (M. Maruyama, ed.), Marcel Dekker New York Basel Hong Kong 1994,
[49] C. Voisin, Bloch's conjecture for Catanese and Barlow surfaces, J. Differential Geometry 97 (2014), 149—175,
[50] C. Voisin, Chow Rings, Decomposition of the Diagonal, and the Topology of Families, Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2014,
[51] C. Voisin, The generalized Hodge and Bloch conjectures are equivalent for general complete intersections, II, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 22 (2015), 491—517,
[52] Z. Xu, Algebraic cycles on a generalized Kummer variety, arXiv:1506.04297v1.

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUE AVANCÉE, CNRS – UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG, 7 RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67084 STRASBOURG CEDEX, FRANCE.
E-mail address: robert.laterveer@math.unistra.fr